

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/868,300 | 06/15/2001 | Lieven De Veylder | 2364/300 (C 2681 US) | 7567 |
| 7590 07/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Ann M Pokalsky | | | COLLINS, CYNTHIA E | |
| Nixon Peabody 990 Stewart Avenue | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Garden City, NY 11530 | | | 1638 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/13/2009 | 5 . |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Notice of Abandonment | 09/868,300 | DE VEYLDER ET AL. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Cynthia Collins | 1638 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | ith the correspondence address |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offi (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time o | f Mailing or Transmission date f month(s)) which exp | d), which is after the expiration of the red on |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it doe | s not constitute a proper reply | under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejecti application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | ed Notice of Appeal (with app | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not const final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, we have a subject to the expiration of the statutory (PTOL 85). | -85). as received on (with a | a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated |
| Allowance (PTOL-85). | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | | ed by 37 CFR 1 18(d) is \$ |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | | |
| | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). | ·, | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailir | g or Transmission dated), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | • | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by t the applicants. | he attorney or agent of record | I, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting ir | a representative capacity under 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfection of the decision has expired and there are no allowed class. | | d because the period for seeking court review |
| 7.˙□ The reason(s) below: | | |
| | | rithia Allins |
| | | ., |
| | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withd | fraw the holding of abandonment | under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to |